

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Professor Rodwell FROM: Anthony Cuzo DATE: March 11, 2023

SUBJECT: ENGL 21007 Product Review Essay

This memorandum reflects my Lab Report Analysis submitted to blackboard on March 8, 2023. The memorandum that follows reflects my use of the articles and information given and how the information was put into an analysis; and how this can be strengthened in the future.

Lab Report Analysis was an Analysis of "Peers at work: Evidence from the lab." by Roel van Veldhuizen, Hessel Oosterbeek, and Joep Sonnemans, "Does Cognitive Reappraisal Reduce Anxiety? A Daily Study of a Micro-Intervention With Individuals With High Social Anxiety" and "Emotion regulation in social anxiety: a systematic investigation and meta-analysis using self-report, subjective, and event-related potentials measures." both labs written by Yogev Kivity and Jonathan D. Huppert. The outline of the analysis consisted of an introduction of the labs through a summary of what the lab was and the main point of each lab. The next paragraph was an analysis of the entirety of one lab; the labs abstract, introduction, methods, procedures, results, and discussion were analyzed. The following paragraphs were an analysis of the other labs in the same format. To close the analysis, I discussed a comparison section where I mentioned which lab was better written and why. The conclusion was last and like the discussion section of the labs, I went over why my results of these labs and once more mentioned a brief analysis of the labs and why "Peers at work; Evidence from the lab." was better written.

Although I am familiar with analysis', I am not familiar with doing an analysis of 3 lab reports, let alone never doing a lab report analysis in general. I found this assignment difficult because my weakness is using information accurately enough. To use unnecessary information, and to use too much information is something that had to be taken into consideration when analyzing three 20+ page lab reports and comparing them. The peer review sessions for the outline and best draft helped me with what information should be included since I got feedback on what I was lacking; and I benefited from looking at my peers outlines and drafts and seeing what they included and comparing their final product to mine. This encourages my future writing by giving me insight of the process of writing an analysis of information dense articles should look like.

Considering future writing within the field of engineering, I know there will be reports and studies that will be completed through a similar process. The lab report analysis assignment was a step closer to working with information-heavy material that needs to be summarized, shortened, or analyzed in the future.

Anthony Cuzo